Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) 171.13 (C₃), 34.28 (C₄), 41.68 (C₅), 42.24 (C₆), 5.71 (C₆-CH₃) ppm. The further structural confirmation of both 6b and 6c was based on comparisons of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra with those of 3-phenyl-6,6'-dimethyl-1,2-diazabicyclo[3.1.0]hex-2-ene (6d) (mp 52.5 °C) obtained from the decomposition of 4d (mp 120 °C)¹⁰ in 59% yield¹⁸ [6d: UV (max in EtOH) 254 nm (log ϵ 4.14); m/e (rel intensity) 186 (M⁺, 4%), 158 (23%), 143 (100%), 128 (62%), 115 (33%); ¹H NMR (60 MHz in CCl₄) δ 2.90 (dd, endo-C₄-H, J = 4.0 and 18.0 Hz), 3.10 $(exo-C_4-H, J = 8.0 \text{ and } 18.0 \text{ Hz}), 2.45 \text{ (dd, } C_5-H, J = 4.0 \text{ and } 18.0 \text{ Hz})$ 8.0 Hz), 0.92 (s, endo-C₆-CH₃), 1.33 (s, exo-C₆-CH₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) 171.03 (C₃), 35.30 (C₄), 50.07 (C₅), 47.07 (C₆), 11.87 (endo-C₆-CH₃), 25.87 (exo-C₆-CH₃) ppm].

From the above experiments, it was also found that the rates of formation of 6 and disappearance of 5 were in the order b >d > c > a. This order coincides with that of relative thermal stability of aziridines 6. Among four aziridines, 6b was found to be most stable and gave a 1:4.8 mixture of $5b^{19}$ and 6b upon heating in refluxing carbon tetrachloride for 1 h. Similarly, 6d afforded a 1:2 mixture of $5d^{20}$ and 6d. On the other hand, the more labile aziridines 6c and 6a afforded a 1.9:1 mixture of 5c and 6c and a 2.3:1 mixture of 5a and 6a, respectively. These results provide the same thermal reversibility between aziridine and allyldiazomethane as that reported previously by us.²

The experimental results shown here, thus, provide the high stereoselective 1,1-cycloaddition reaction of (E)-1 and (Z)-1 and retro-1,1-cycloaddition reaction of endo-2 and exo-2, supporting a concerted mechanism of an intramolecular 1,1-cycloaddition of diazomethane to the C=C double bond.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge support of this work by the grant-in-aid for Chemical Research in Development and Utilization of Nitrogen-Organic Resources sponsored by the Ministry of Education, Tokyo, Japan.

(18) The yield was determined by liquid chromatography using Waters DATA MODULE 730. (19) **5b**: ¹H NMR (CCl₄) δ 1.6 (CH₃), 3.0 (CH₂), 5.5 (CH=CH).

(20) 5d: ¹H NMR (CCl₄) δ 1.7 (CH₃), 3.2 (CH₂), 5.3 (CH=CH).

Enhancement of ²⁹Si or ¹¹⁹Sn NMR Signals in the Compounds $M(CH_3)_n Cl_{4-n}$ (M = Si or Sn, n = 4, 3, 2) Using Proton Polarization Transfer. Dependence of the Enhancement On the Number of Scalar Coupled Protons

David M. Doddrell,* David T. Pegg, William Brooks, and M. Robin Bendall

> School of Science, Griffith University Nathan, Queensland, 4111 Australia Received August 18, 1980

Recently, Freeman and Morris¹ and Morris² proposed the use of the nonselective polarization-transfer (PT) pulse train

$$(90_xH)-\tau-(180_xH)(180_xI)-\tau-(90_yH) \times$$

 $(90_xI)-\Delta-(acquire data, decouple)$

as a general technique for enhancing the NMR signals from a nucleus I with a low gyromagnetic ratio. The sequence is particularly useful³ when the relaxation mechanism of nucleus I arises from nonproton dipolar interactions, a consequence of which is negligible nuclear Overhauser enhancement (NOE) after proton

727

decoupling. Fundamental to NMR is the general rule that, provided the relaxation pathway of a nucleus I is dominated by ¹H-I dipolar couplings, the NOE is independent of the number of relaxing protons, being $1 + \gamma_{\rm H}/2\gamma_{\rm I}$ provided the extreme narrowing limit applies.4

Recently,⁵ we derived an expression for the decoupled enhancement, E_d , following a PT pulse train as a function of τ and Δ for any nucleus of spin *l* scalar coupled to *n* spin 1/2 particles (protons). For l = 1/2, with τ at its optimum value of $0.25J^{-1}$, this is

$$E_{\rm d} = 2^{(1-n)} (\gamma_{\rm H} / \gamma_{\rm I}) \sum_{M_{\rm H}=-1/2^{n}}^{1/2n} {}^{n}C_{y}M_{\rm H} \sin (2\pi J M_{\rm H} \Delta) \qquad (1)$$

where $y = M_{\rm H} + \frac{1}{2}n$, $M_{\rm H}$ being the proton spin quantum numbers n/2, $(n/2) - 1, \ldots, -n/2$. This series expression has the simple analytic form

$$E_{\rm d} = n(\gamma_{\rm H}/\gamma_{\rm I}) \sin (\pi J \Delta) \cos^{n-1} (\pi J \Delta)$$
(2)

The equivalence of (1) and (2) can be shown by differentiating with respect to Δ the identity

$$\cos^n (\pi J \Delta) = 2^{-n} \sum_{y=0}^n C_y \cos \left[(2y - n) \pi J \Delta \right]$$

The first maximum of (2) occurs at $\Delta = \Delta_{opt}$, where

$$\Delta_{\text{opt}} = (\pi J)^{-1} \arcsin n^{-1/2} \tag{3}$$

giving the optimum decoupled enhancement factor

$$E_{\rm dopt} = (\gamma_{\rm H}/\gamma_{\rm I}) n^{1/2} (1 - 1/n)^{(1/2)(n-1)}$$
(4)

This shows that E_{dopt} increases as *n* increases while Δ_{opt} decreases (see Table I). In fact for large *n*, $E_{dopt} \sim (\gamma_H/\gamma_I)(n/e)^{1/2}$ and $\Delta_{\text{opt}} = 1/(\pi J \sqrt{n})$ where e is the exponential number. Thus while $E_{\text{dopt}} = \gamma_{\text{H}}/\gamma_{1}$ for n = 1, 2, it can exceed $2\gamma_{\text{H}}/\gamma_{1}$ for $n \ge 11$. Such behavior is significantly different from that of the NOE, and large enhancements are possible for a variety of interesting systems having large n. While signal enhancements exceeding $\gamma_{\rm H}/\gamma_{\rm I}$ are known,⁶ in this paper we show experimentally that it is possible to obtain larger enhancements and that the PT sequence is likely to be useful to boost the signal to noise ratio (S/N) of NMR of heavy atoms such as Si and Sn for which there appears to be little NOE on proton decoupling.

A coupled PT ¹¹⁹Sn spectrum ($\Delta = 0$) of (CH₃)₄Sn is shown in Figure 1.7 Note the spectacular improvement in S/N and the change in relative intensities between the PT and FT spectra. The relative intensities should change from (FT) 1:12:66:220:495:792:924:792:495:220:66:12:1 (some of the outer lines too weak to be observed) are to 3:30:132:330:495:396:0:-396:-495:-330:-132:-30:-3 (PT). When a proton decoupling field is applied, the experimentally found Δ_{opt} ~ 0.095J⁻¹ and the S/N boost by a factor of 5.3 for the ¹¹⁹Sn resonance compare favorably with the theoretical values of 0.093 J^{-1} and 5.76 found from 2.147 (γ_H/γ_{Sn}) (see Table I). For $(CH_3)_3$ SnCl and $(CH_3)_2$ SnCl₂ we find that the theoretical Δ_{opt} timings do give the maximum enhancements, but these are about 20% less than predicted, being 3.8 (expt) and 5.0 (theory) and

⁽¹⁾ Morris, G. A.; Freeman, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 760-762.

⁽²⁾ Morris, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 428-429.
(3) Doddrell, D. M.; Bergen, H.; Thomas, D.; Pegg, D. T.; Bendall, M. R. J. Magn. Reson. 1980, 40, 591-594.

⁽⁴⁾ Kuhlmann, K. F.; Grant, D. M.; Harris, R. K. J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 52, 3439-3448

⁽⁵⁾ Pegg, D. T.; Doddrell, D. M.; Brooks, W. M.; Bendall, M. R. J. Magn.

⁽⁶⁾ Chingas, G. C.; Garroway, A. N.; Moniz, W. B.; Bertrand, R. D. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980, 102, 2526-2528.
(7) ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectra were determined at 33.56 MHz on a modified

HX-90 NMR spectrometer fitted with a CXP-type pulse programmer, mod-ulator, and receiver. A hardware controlled phase shifter was available on the ¹H (90 MHz) channel. Phase alternation of the 90, H pulse was used.^{1,2,9} (180,H)(180,I) refocusing pulses were used in the pulse sequence at the middle of the Δ period to obviate the problem of large phase corrections.^{3,10,11} Values of $J_{1195n-H}$ were (CH₃)₄Sn (50%, benzene- d_6), 53.7 Hz; (CH₃)₃SnCl (30%, CDCl₃), 59.6 Hz; (CH₃)₂SnCl₂ (20%, acetone- d_6), 85.0 Hz.

Table I. Values of Δ_{opt} To Give the Maximum Decoupled Enhancement E_{dopt} as a Function of the Number of Scalar Coupled Protons^a

n	1	2	3	4	6	8	9	12	15	18
Δ_{opt}^{b}	0.50	0.25	0.196	0.167	0.134	0.115	0.108	0.093	0.083	0.076
E_{dopt}^{c}	1.0	1.0	1.155	1.299	1.553	1.772	1.873	2.147	2.389	2.610





Figure 1. A comparison between the coupled FT NMR spectrum (lower) of Sn(CH₃)₄ with the proton polarization-transfer spectrum (upper) determined with $\tau = 4.66$ ms, $\Delta = 0$. Each spectrum is the average of 16 scans. Pulse times were $t_{90}^{\text{Sn}} = 15 \ \mu\text{s}, t_{90}^{\text{H}} = 24 \ \mu\text{s}.$



Figure 2. (A) FT (a), PT (b), and reverse proton-decoupled FT (c) spectra of Si(CH₃)₄. t_{90}^{Si} was 13 μ s. Each spectrum is the average of four scans. $E_{dopt} = 9.2$ (theory 10.8). (B) Reverse proton decoupled FT (a), PT (b), and FT (c) spectra of Sn(CH₃)₄. Each spectrum is the average of four scans. $E_{dopt} = 5.3$ (theory 5.76).

3.2 (expt) and 4.2 (theory), respectively. The reductions appear to arise from the short ¹¹⁹Sn T_2 values for these compounds. For all three compounds there is no NOE on proton decoupling.

The enhancement factors⁸ for the ²⁹Si resonances in $(CH_3)_4$ Si and $(CH_3)_3$ SiCl are much closer to the theoretical value, being 9.2 (expt) (10.8, theory) and 8.5 (expt) (9.4, theory), respectively (Figure 2). The experimental value for $(CH_3)_2$ SiCl₂ is 5.0, again somewhat less than the theoretical value of 7.8. For this compound the ²⁹Si T_2 is short and a loss of intensity is to be expected by using the PT sequence.

The enhancement factors presented in this paper are clearly dependent on the number of attached protons; S/N gains of the orders of magnitude measured represent a time-saving factor of 10–100 in obtaining ²⁹Si and ¹¹⁹Sn NMR spectra. It is clear that the PT sequence will be particularly useful for obtaining metal NMR signals (e.g., ¹⁰³Rh, ¹⁸³W, ⁵⁷Fe, ¹⁹⁹Hg, ²⁹Si, ¹¹⁹Sn, ²⁰⁷Pb, etc.) in a variety of compounds provided there is a resolvable scalar coupling and the relaxation times (T_i) are not too short, that is, for (Δ, τ) < T_i^{-1} . Experiments are being performed on a variety

of compounds to test the generality of PT NMR to obtain metal NMR spectra.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by capital equipment grants from the Australian Research Grants Committee. We thank Dr. Kitching, University of Queensland, for a loan of the Sn compounds. We are grateful to a referee for pointing out the analytic simplification to the first equation.

Functionalized Vesicular Assembly. Enantioselective Catalysis of Ester Hydrolysis

Yukito Murakami,* Akio Nakano, Akira Yoshimatsu, and Kiyoshi Fukuya

Department of Organic Synthesis, Faculty of Engineering Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812, Japan Received August 25, 1980

Several studies on micellar catalysis¹ have been carried out in order to develop stereoselective reaction sites for the hydrolysis of enantiomeric esters and understand the origins of stereoselectivity in proteolytic enzymes,² and some of those micellar systems having chiral centers^{1b,c} exercised moderate enantioselectivity. We have reported³ that a zwitterionic double-chained amphiphile involving an amino acid residue may form stable single-compartment bilayer vesicles in aqueous media and suggested that such vesicles may provide asymmetric recognition sites for various guest molecules. In this communication, we report the stereoselective hydrolysis of simple enantiomeric esters, L- and D-N-benzyloxycarbonylphenylalanine p-nitrophenyl esters [L-/ D-(Z)-Phe-PNP],⁴ as catalyzed by a synthetic functionalized membrane formed with N,N-didodecyl-N^{α}-[6-(trimethylammonio)hexanoyl]histidinamide bromide (1).⁵ The present substrates would be favorably incorporated into vesicles by their intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interaction⁶ with an amino acid moiety placed at the hydrogen belt region and by hydrophobic

(2) Ingles, D. W.; Knowles, J. R. Biochem. J. 1968, 108, 561-569

^{(8) &}lt;sup>29</sup>Si NMR spectra were determined at 17.88 MHz.⁷ Values of $J_{^{29}Si-H}$ were (50% in benzene- d_6) (CH₃)₄Si, 6.6 Hz; (CH₃)₃SiCl, 6.8 Hz; (CH₃)₂SiCl₂, 7.7 Hz.

 ⁽⁹⁾ Doddrell, D. M.; Pegg, D. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 6388–6390.
 (10) Burum, D. P.; Ernst, R. R. J. Magn. Reson. 1980, 39, 163–168.

⁽¹¹⁾ Thomas, D. M.; Bendall, M. R.; Pegg, D. T.; Doddrell, D. M.; Field, J. J. Magn. Reson., in press.

^{(1) (}a) Moss, R. A.; Sunshine, W. L. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 1083-1089.
(b) Brown, J. M.; Bunton, C. A. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 969-971.
(c) Ihara, Y. Ibid. 1978, 984-985.
(d) Moss, R. A.; Lukas, T. J.; Nahas, R. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 3851-3854.
(e) Moss, R. A.; Nahas, R. C.; Lukas, T. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 507-510.
(f) Moss, R. A.; Lee, Y.-S.; Lukas, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 2499-2501.

⁽³⁾ Murakami, Y.; Nakano, A.; Fukuya, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4253-4254.

^{(4) (}a) L-/D-(Z)-Phe-PNP were synthesized from the corresponding Nbenzyloxycarbonylphenylalanine and p-nitrophenol in the presence of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide. L-(Z)-Phe-PNP: mp 128-130 °C (lit.⁴⁶ 126.5-127.5 °C), [a]²⁵_D -9.0° (c 2.2, ethyl acetate) [lit.⁴⁶ -8.9° (c 2.2, ethyl acetate)]. Anal. (C₂₃H₂₀N₂O₆) C, H, N. D-(Z)-Phe-PNP: mp 129-131 °C, [a]²⁵_D +8.8° (c 2.2, ethyl acetate). Anal. (C₂₃H₂₀N₂O₆) C, H, N. (b) Goodman, M.; Stueben, K. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81,3980-3983. (5) (a) Amphiphile 1 (abbreviated as N⁺C₅His2C₁₂): liquid crystal with final mp 117 °C; Pauly (for detection of free imidazolyl and phenolic groups)⁵⁶ and Dragendorff (for detection of quaternary ammonium group)⁵⁶ positive.

^{(5) (}a) Amphiphile 1 (abbreviated as N⁺C₂His2C₁₂): liquid crystal with final mp 117 °C; Pauly (for detection of free imidazolyl and phenolic groups)⁵⁶ and Dragendorff (for detection of quaternary ammonium group)^{5c} positive; $R_{\rm f}$ (silica gel 1B of Baker-flex) 0.31 (with 1-butanol-water-acetic acid, 4:2:1 v/v) and 0.22 (with methanol); $[\alpha]^{25}_{\rm D}$ +40.0° (c 1.0, EtOH); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, Me₄Si) δ 0.86 (6 H, t, (CH₂)₁₁CH₃), 1.25 (40 H, s, CH₂(CH₂)₁₀CH₃), ~2.00 (6 H, m, N⁺CH₂(CH₂)₃CH₂CO-), 2.35 (2 H, bt t, N⁺(CH₂)₄CH₂OO-), 2.80-3.62 (8 H, m, N⁺ CH₂(CH₂)₄CO-, CHCH₂Im, and -NCH₂(CH₂)₁₀CH₃), 3.33 (9 H, s, (CH₃)₃N⁺), 5.04 (1 H, bt t, -CH-), 6.97 (1 H, s, Im 5-H), and 8.22 (1 H, s, Im 2-H). Anal. (C₃₉H₇₆BrN₅-O₂·2.5H₂O) C, H, N. (b) Hunter, G. J. Chem. Soc. 1930, 2343-2346. (c) Beiss, U. J. Chromatogr. 1964, 13, 104-110.

Beiss, U. J. Chromatogr. 1964, 13, 104–110. (6) Brown and Bunton^{1b} suggested that the ability of amide bonds of functional micelles to form intermolecular hydrogen bonds must bring about the high stereoselectivity in the hydrolysis of N-acetylphenylalanine p-nitrophenyl ester.